Tr. Jomes S. obeside Archivist of the Waited States The Mational Toulves Rephington, D.C. 20408

Dear Gr. Bonds.

Four letter of the lith an size of the 13 scened is the meil, Considering that the most resent of the requests responded to is your letter is two ments and 12 days old, I hope you will understand the reflected impationce.

however, because I did write you. I write und to acknowledge receipt of this letter and the explosures.

Thank you for netifying no my encount names repleniables. A whock for

I will go over the enclosures as soon as possible and will arite further if it seems ascessory. There is cons confucion, some unsertainty I will neating new.

Figure 1 regard to Vertic, the nemepopers of February RA, 1987, contains a the report stributed to your agency that there were a total of 60 mages relating to force in your custody, of which is sure declaratified. We see thech, then Mr. Tokens made these 10 pages swallable to be an incentified by one the former for me disclosed town duplications. While it is possible he did not give me the identification of these still absoluted, he must containly did of those declaratified rat, as noted above, the press is consistent in giving a total of 40 regas. This we not a universal februaries, nor did all reporters make an indetical gages. The second consistent of that convergation sink are remarks in guite where it is a not for the press further mark, I credited his with this is 15% ALS ONLARD (p. 175), one set wrote, Therian Connects, effected to custodian of this archive, gathered for the press the 10 regas referred to."

Tour second paregraph says, "The same file for Tarrie, Annews, contains the fellowing pages in Mercen Cammissian Document 75 that are cithhold from research!", thereafter listed. Nor at your suggestion, I saked to see this file and, se I reported to you, it was guited. There was no reference to any of these pages in it and outte a number of pages I have on Mercia were not there. There was a separate folder limitified as these pages from UNTS. There was a, is I recall, two pages in it and we reference to any mithhold pages. There were than two pages set sinhald from UP 70 alone.

and I should like to mos with regard to this paragraph is: are all with-

Ine most recent of my requests for this information was forember 34. In that letter I also exist for uncuments relating to sytem fortens, "alvin Coffey and alvin Decubest. once you say only, "too most file for Layton Fortens contains

pages 302-304 from CT 75 that are mithheld from recenter". Are you saying there is nothing also on Martens? You make no reference to the other two. Too also do not give the date of the Tabellivan interview.

"ith respect to the M94-E, I look forward to getting the opinguents and a three particular than I will write further. Then I can be in respirated I will phone to arrange to see the two photographs of it 394 that you have prepared but do not furnish copies of. Sitheut seeing them I do not know if I would sent copies, but if you do nove the pictures wirendy to be, muld you mind telling me sky you do not furnish copies?

Four paragraph on the picture of CESSO is, a loave already written you, in the most serious error. Long ser you naked no to sand you an electrostatic copy of that picture and I did. You now say it in the one you to k for Dr. Sichola. I will not make an insue of your refusions to cake a copy of his order evellable, although I think for a master of recomme, some of which should be obvious, some ought to. Mosever, my writing on that picture, taken for me, under ar. obmson's ought to. Mosever, my writing on that picture, taken for me, under ar. obmson's supervision, was in the summer of 1967. It is deted. Obviously, this tent of he the picture you ildn't take for Dr. Dichola until about May 28, 1968.

Your popultimate paragraph does not give the date you first made the first two Spectur memoranda eveilable to rescarchers.

By request of January 4 was for the entire Surkley file. Too make no reference to tale. Say I secure that Blat you east is the entire Surkley file?

The same day, with regard to Shand, I saked for the strackments relating to him industriage plus emything else you had aside from the documents I listed. You make no reference to these attackments and durings to the documents I told you I had, Op 301: 513,320; CD1107: 1005-6.

Tenshes other than 2 and 3. You sent me pages 1.4 and 5, which you and already provided, and sleet surgical what I did not oak for, pp. 6-22, which are clearly marked so a speech not by bim.

Ferrie, CD 301:85-5. Inless they are the only references to Coffey and Resubscuf, much I very much coult.

For those things I did request that you sent or are sending, I do thank you. Formape if there nere less deliberate delay in responding to my requests, some of this mantaful deplication and error night be evaled. This your letter says it is response to a master of sine going back to last December, the fact is that is those letters I repeat requests made earlier, without response.

If you would like what help I may be able to provide in straightening out the mistabelling of your pictures, please let we know.

Sincerely,

Marold olstarg